Chart 1: Student's adaptation to online learning

From the analysis of the students' answers, we concluded that the students who participated in our study highlighted the same advantages and disadvantages of distance learning, which we find mentioned in the literature on this topic. However, we would like to emphasize once again that the answers obtained in our research differ greatly from student to student, which suggests the idea that the effectiveness of online learning is related to the subjective reality of the student and the specifics of his personality. Therefore, it is very important to have a permanent connection with students who learn online, to listen to their feedback and to adapt to the specifics of each one of them.

5 Conclusions and Proposals

Given that the purpose of developing the online course system is to provide everyone with access to quality courses, we consider it necessary for teachers to adjust university education to the specifics of online teaching, which involves:
- providing materials to support online interactivity;
- structuring the material for easy and quick assimilation;
- motivating and stimulating the learning activity;
- creating the conditions for the individualization/differentiation of the educational path;
- adjusting the program to the psychological characteristics of the students;
- objective assessment of knowledge.

References

Baker K. Q., Moyer D. M. (2018): The Relationship between Students' Characteristics and Their Impressions of Online Courses. American Journal of Distance Education 33, 16-28

Berry, G. R., Hughes, H. (2020): Integrating Work-Life Balance with 24/7 Information and Communication Technologies: The Experience of Adult Students With Online Learning. American Journal of Distance Education 34, 2, 91-105

Brinkley-Etzkorn, K. E. (2019): The Effects of Training on Instructor Beliefs about and Attitudes toward Online Teaching. American Journal of Distance Education 34, 1, 19-35

Cundell A., Sheepy E. (2018): Student Perceptions of the Most Effective and Engaging Online Learning Activities in a Blended Graduate Seminar. Online Learning 22, 3

Diehl W. C. (2019): Debate, Confusion, and Cohesion in Distance Education. American Journal of Distance Education 33, 229

Fey S., Emery M., Flora C. (2008), Student Issues in Distance Education Programs: Do Inter-institutional Programs Offer Students More Confusion or More Opportunities? Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks 12.3-4, 71-83

Garland, M. (1993): Ethnography penetrates the "I didn't have time" rationale to elucidate higher order reasons for distance education withdrawal. Research in Distance Education 5, 1–2, 6–10

Lao, T., Gonzales, C.(2005): Understanding online learning through a qualitative description of professors and students' experiences. Journal of Technology and Teacher Education 13(3): 459–474

Moubayed A., Injadat M., Shami A., Lutfiyya H. (2020): Student Engagement Level in an e-Learning Environment: Clustering Using K-means. American Journal of Distance Education 34, 137-156

Nash, R. D. (2005): Course completion rates among distance learners: identifying possible methods to improve retention. Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration VIII (IV)

Piercy K., Lee T. (2006): Graduate distance education in family relations: A case study, Family Relations 55,1, 67–79

Powell, R., C. Conway, and L. Ross. (1990): Effects of Predisposing Characteristics on Student Success. Journal of Distance Education 5, 1, 5–19

Shearer R. L., Aldemir T., Hitchcock J., Resig J. (2019): What Students Want: A Vision of a Future Online Learning Experience Grounded. Distance Education Theory, December 2019

Williams, P. and D. Nichols. (2004): E-learning: what the literature tells us about distance education. Aslib Proceedings: New Information Perspectives 57(2): 109–122

Effective Online Assessment in Software Testing Education

Maria-Camelia Chisăliță-Crețu[1]

(1) Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Babeș-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca 1, Mihail Kogălniceanu Street, RO-400084, ROMANIA
E-mail: cretu[at]cs.ubbcluj.ro

Abstract

Developing relevant learning activities that ensure competency acquisition is important. At the same degree, the design of effective assessments that address key concepts studied throughout course duration is necessary. During this endeavour, educators undertake both student and teacher concerns on having a fair evaluation. This paper examines issues related to rigorous, accurate, and equal online assessments in a software testing course. Results on applied assessment strategy are investigated and general recommendations are inventoried.

Keywords: online assessment, evaluation items, learning outcomes

1 Introduction

Skill acquisition verification through formative and summative assessments allow teachers to have accurate reflection of the actual knowledge in the learning process. Face to face evaluation through time-based written papers is commonly used. When various circumstances require adopting online assessment many aspects needs to be changed or adapted in order to preserve accuracy and academic integrity. As the COVID-19 pandemic circumstances required the switch to all teaching and assessment activities to the online medium, higher education teachers were challenged to tailor teaching activities and assessment tasks acccordingly.

This paper investigates the online assessment associated activities for the Test Design Techniques (TDT) elective course, taught for computer science 3rd year undergraduate students at Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania.

The main contributions of this paper are an empirical analysis on various issues related to the online assessment aspects. Key elements that need to be promoted in both face to face and online assessment are reviewed. The evaluation items of the online assessment of the 2020 TDT course session are described together with the results obtained, emphasising the added value of the designed tasks for online evaluation in the context of learning test design techniques.

The paper is organized in several sections as follows. *Section 2* shortly describes TDT course learning goals, considering those testing topics mandatory for both junior and senior testers. Important view from the online assessment lens are analysed in *Section 3*. The participant profile and the evaluation items used to design assessment tasks are detailed in *Section 4*. *Section 5* presents the results of the online assessment for two different categories of evaluation items and the corresponding analysis. Lessons learned from teacher's perspective referring to preparation and actual online assessment tasks are inventoried in *Section 6*. The paper ends with conclusions and future improvements.

2. TDT Course Learning Goals

TDT course is proposed to several undergraduate specializations at the Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science at Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania. The educational